

# BCFC

Business Coalition  
for Fair Competition



[www.governmentcompetition.org](http://www.governmentcompetition.org)

111th Congress

# Key Vote Scorecard

2009-2010





# Description of Key Senate Votes

- 1. Motion to Table the Vitter Amendment to Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act of 2009**  
**Preserved open competition and Federal government neutrality towards labor relations of Federal government contractors on Federal and Federally funded construction projects.**  
S. 181 – January 22, 2009, Roll Call Vote #13, passed 59-38.  
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 2. Passage of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009**  
**Funded thousands of government positions, failed to require or encourage contracting for private sector jobs, and resulted in the build-up of government agency commercial activities and increased unfair government competition with the private sector.**  
H.R. 1 – February 13, 2009, Roll Call Vote #64, passed 60-38.  
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 3. Motion to Table the Coburn Amendment to Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009**  
**Required Federal agencies to determine on an annual basis the quantity of land that is owned by each Federal agency and the cost to taxpayers of the ownership of the land.**  
H.R. 146 – March 19, 2009, Roll Call Vote #104, passed 58-39.  
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 4. DeMint Amendment to Concurrent Resolution Setting Forth the Congressional Budget for the U.S. Government for Fiscal Year 2010**  
**Prevented taxpayer-funded bailouts for auto manufacturers.**  
S. Con. Res. 13 – April 2, 2009, Roll Call Vote #148, rejected 31-66.  
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 5. Coburn Amendment to Helping Families Save Their Homes Act of 2009**  
**Established a pilot program for the expedited disposal of Federal real property.**  
S. 896 – May 6, 2009, Roll Call Vote #183, rejected 50-46. (3/5 required for passage).  
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 6. Thune Amendment to Increasing the Statutory Limit on the Public Debt**  
**Terminated authority under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) including ending government ownership of private sector entities, assets and liabilities.**  
H.J.Res. 45 – January 21, 2010, Roll Call Vote #2, rejected 53-45. (3/5 required for passage).  
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 7. Motion to Table the Vitter Amendment to Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010**  
**Repealed the government takeover of health care.**  
H.R. 4872 – March 24, 2010, Roll Call Vote #84, passed 58-39.  
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 8. McCain Amendment to Restoring American Financial Stability Act of 2010**  
**Ended government sponsorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.**  
S. 3217 – May 11, 2010, Roll Call Vote #140, rejected 43-56.  
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 9. Gregg Amendment to Restoring American Financial Stability Act of 2010**  
**Prohibited bailouts of fiscally irresponsible State and local governments, including those that failed to privatize or eliminate activities that duplicate or compete with the private sector.**  
S. 3217 – May 18, 2010, Roll Call Vote #153, rejected 47-50.  
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 10. Cloture for Democracy is Strengthened by Casting Light on Spending in Elections (DISCLOSE) Act**  
**Restricted election activities of private sector firms that are government contractors without an equivalent provision limiting government employee unions.**  
S. 3628 – September 23, 2010, Roll Call Vote #240, rejected 59-39.  
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<b><u>Alabama</u></b>											
Sessions	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Shelby	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Alaska</u></b>											
Begich	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Murkowski	78	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV
<b><u>Arizona</u></b>											
Kyl	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McCain	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Arkansas</u></b>											
Lincoln	22	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	DNV	-
Pryor	20	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
<b><u>California</u></b>											
Boxer	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feinstein	10	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Colorado</u></b>											
Bennet*	10	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Udall	10	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Connecticut</u></b>											
Dodd	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lieberman	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Delaware</u></b>											
Carper	10	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Kaufman*	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Florida</u></b>											
LeMieux*	100	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	+	+	+	+	+
Nelson	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
<b><u>Georgia</u></b>											
Chambliss	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Isakson	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	+	+	+
<b><u>Hawaii</u></b>											
Akaka	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inouye	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Idaho</u></b>											
Crapo	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Risch	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Illinois</u></b>											
Burris*	0	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Durbin	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Indiana</u></b>											
Bayh	40	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-
Lugar	90	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Iowa</u></b>											
Grassley	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Harkin	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Kansas</u></b>											
Brownback	90	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Roberts	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Kentucky</u></b>											
Bunning	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McConnell	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Louisiana</u></b>											
Landrieu	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vitter	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Maine</u></b>											
Collins	90	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Snowe	80	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Maryland</u></b>											
Cardin	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mikulski	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Massachusetts</u></b>											
Brown, Scott*	100	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	+	+	+	+
Kerry	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Michigan</u></b>											
Levin	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stabenow	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Minnesota</u></b>											
Franken	0	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	-	-	-	-
Klobuchar	11	-	-	DNV	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Mississippi</u></b>											
Cochran	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wicker	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b><u>Missouri</u></b>											
Bond	78	+	+	+	-	-	+	DNV	+	+	+
McCaskill	30	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-



# Description of Key House Votes

## 1. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

Funded thousands of government positions, failed to require or encourage contracting for private sector jobs, and resulted in the build-up of government agency commercial activities and increased unfair government competition with the private sector.

H.R. 1 – February 13, 2009, Roll Call Vote #70, passed 246-183.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 2. Omnibus Appropriations Act

Provided for insourcing—the conversion of work currently performed by private sector contractor firms to performance by Federal government employees—and effectively canceled the A-76 “yellow pages” program.

H.R. 1105 – February 25, 2009, Roll Call Vote #86, passed 245-178.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 3. American Clean Energy and Security Act

Created a new National Climate Service that would duplicate ongoing data collection efforts of the private sector and created new market opportunities for credit unions and non-profits.

H.R. 2454 – June 26, 2009, Roll Call Vote #477, passed 219-212.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 4. Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009

Authorized Federal government takeover of private sector student loan program.

H.R. 3221 – September 17, 2009, Roll Call Vote #719, passed 253-171.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 5. Cybersecurity Enhancement Act

Created up to 1,000 new Federal information technology employee positions and provided Executive Branch authority to restrict private sector internet operations.

H.R. 4061 – February 3, 2010, Roll Call Vote #30, passed 237-176.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 6. Reconciliation Act of 2010

Authorized massive new Federal government intervention into the private sector healthcare industry.

H.R. 4872 – March 21, 2010, Roll Call Vote #167, passed 220-211.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 7. Sarbanes Amendment to Defense Authorization

Codified insourcing, decreased government utilization of private sector contractors, and broadened the definition of inherently governmental activities banned from contracting.

H.R. 5136 – May 27, 2010, Roll Call Vote #318, passed 253-172.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 8. Democracy is Strengthened by Casting Light on Spending in Elections (DISCLOSE)

### Act

Restricted election activities of private sector firms that are government contractors without an equivalent provision limiting government employee unions.

H.R. 5175 – June 24, 2010, Roll Call Vote #391, passed 219-206.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 9. Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010

Required a plan for insourcing or converting contractor activities to government employees.

H.R. 2701 – September 29, 2010, Roll Call Vote #558, passed 244-181.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

## 10. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Authorization

Continued the government-run space shuttle program that the Obama Administration wanted to commercialize and authorized the build-up of in-house government capabilities in Earth Observation instead of relying on existing private sector capability and capacity.

S. 3729 – September 29, 2010, Roll Call Vote #561, passed 304-118.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.





	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<b>Florida</b>											
15 Posey	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
16 Rooney	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
17 Meek	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Ros-Lehtinen	80	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
19 Deutch*	0	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	-	-	-
20 Wasserman Schultz	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21 Diaz-Balart, Lincoln	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
22 Klein	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23 Hastings, Alcee	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	+	-	-
24 Kosmas	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
25 Diaz-Balart, Mario	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
<b>Georgia</b>											
1 Kingston	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Bishop, Sanford	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
3 Westmoreland	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Johnson, Hank	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Lewis, John	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
6 Price, Tom	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Linder	89	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	+	+	-
8 Marshall	40	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
9 Graves, Tom*	100	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	+	+	+	+
10 Broun	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
11 Gingrey	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
12 Barrow	40	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+
13 Scott, David	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Hawaii</b>											
1 Djou*	25	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	+	-	-
2 Hirono	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<b>Idaho</b>											
1 Minnick	70	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
2 Simpson	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Illinois</b>											
1 Rush	13	-	DNV	-	-	DNV	-	-	+	-	-
2 Jackson	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
3 Lipinski	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Gutierrez	0	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-
5 Quigley*	0	DNV	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Roskam	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Davis, Danny	11	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	+	-	-
8 Bean	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
9 Schakowsky	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Kirk	78	+	+	-	+	DNV	+	+	+	+	-
11 Halvorson	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Costello	10	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Biggert	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
14 Foster	10	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Johnson, Tim	90	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
16 Manzullo	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
17 Hare	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Schock	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
19 Shimkus	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Indiana</b>											
1 Visclosky	22	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	+
2 Donnelly	40	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-





	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<b><u>New Hampshire</u></b>											
1	Shea-Porter	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
2	Hodes	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<b><u>New Jersey</u></b>											
1	Andrews	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
2	LoBiondo	60	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-
3	Adler	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
4	Smith, Chris	70	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-
5	Garrett	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6	Pallone	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Lance	90	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
8	Pascrell	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Rothman	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-
10	Payne	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
11	Frelinghuysen	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12	Holt	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
13	Sires	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>New Mexico</u></b>											
1	Heinrich	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Teague	10	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
3	Lujan	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>New York</u></b>											
1	Bishop, Tim	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Israel	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
3	King, Peter	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
4	McCarthy, Carolyn	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
5	Ackerman	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Meeks	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Crowley	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
8	Nadler	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Weiner	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-
10	Towns	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Clarke	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
12	Velazquez	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	McMahon	22	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	DNV
14	Maloney	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Rangel	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Serrano	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Engel	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
18	Lowey	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Hall, John	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Murphy, Scott*	13	DNV	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
21	Tonko	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Hinchey	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
23	Owens*	33	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	-	-	+	+
24	Arcuri	20	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
25	Maffei	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
26	Lee, Chris	78	DNV	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
27	Higgins	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Slaughter	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Vacancy	N/A	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV
<b><u>North Carolina</u></b>											
1	Butterfield	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2	Etheridge	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV
3	Jones	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+

	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<b><u>North Carolina</u></b>											
4 Price, David	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Fox	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
6 Coble	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 McIntyre	30	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
8 Kissell	20	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
9 Myrick	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
10 McHenry	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
11 Schuler	30	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
12 Watt	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
13 Miller, Brad	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<b><u>North Dakota</u></b>											
AL Pomeroy	10	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Ohio</u></b>											
1 Driehaus	20	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
2 Schmidt	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Turner	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Jordan	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Latta	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Wilson	10	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Austria	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Boehner	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Kaptur	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Kucinich	30	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
11 Fudge	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
12 Tiberi	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
13 Sutton	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 LaTourette	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
15 Kilroy	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
16 Boccieri	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17 Ryan	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Space	10	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Oklahoma</u></b>											
1 Sullivan	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Boren	33	-	-	+	-	-	+	DNV	+	-	-
3 Lucas	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
4 Cole	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
5 Fallin	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	DNV
<b><u>Oregon</u></b>											
1 Wu	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Walden	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Blumenauer	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 DeFazio	30	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
5 Schrader	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b><u>Pennsylvania</u></b>											
1 Brady, Robert	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Fattah	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Dahlkemper	40	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
4 Altmire	20	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
5 Thompson, Glenn	90	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
6 Gerlach	80	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
7 Sestak	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 Murphy, Patrick	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Shuster	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
10 Carney	20	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-







## About BCFC

**Our mission is to advocate for public policies that promote the utilization of and reliance upon the private sector by government at all levels and eliminate unfair government-sponsored competition.**

The Business Coalition for Fair Competition (BCFC) is a national coalition of businesses, associations, taxpayer organizations and think tanks that are committed to reducing all forms of unfair government created, sponsored and provided competition with the private sector. BCFC believes the free enterprise system is the most productive and efficient provider of goods and services and strongly supports the Federal government utilizing the private sector for commercially available products and services to the maximum extent possible.

The function of BCFC is to elevate the public's awareness of the issue of unfair government competition and to work proactively with legislators and executive branch officials to assure that private, for-profit firms continue to constitute the strength of the United States economic system and that competitive private enterprises remain the most productive, efficient, and effective sources of goods and services.



[www.governmentcompetition.org](http://www.governmentcompetition.org)

Published by the Business Coalition for Fair Competition.  
Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.